

## **VERDICT FORM**

We the jury find as follows:

v.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 1**

Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence that one or both of the defendants intentionally committed acts that violated the plaintiff's federal constitutional right not to be subjected to excessive or unreasonable force during an arrest?

Answer "Yes" or "No" in the spaces provided.

Answer:

Defendant Linnell

Defendant Prickett

If you answered "Yes" to one or both parts of Interrogatory No. 1, then proceed to Interrogatory No. 2. Otherwise, proceed no further.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 2**

Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence that one or both of the defendants' acts were the proximate or legal cause of damages sustained by the plaintiff?

-	
Answer "Yes" or	"No" in the spaces provided.
Answer:	Defendant Linnell
	Defendant Prickett
•	nterrogatory No. 2 "Yes" for either or both defendants, then proceed to erwise, proceed no further.
	INTERROGATORY NO. 3
Do you find from a	preponderance of the evidence that the plaintiff's claims for the excessive
use of force are barred un	der the doctrine of qualified immunity as instructed by the court?
Answer "Yes" or	"No" in the space provided.
Answer:	
If you answered In	nterrogatory No. 3 "Yes," then proceed no further. Otherwise, proceed to

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 4**

What sum of money, if any, if paid now in cash, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence would fully and fairly compensate the plaintiff for the damages, if any, caused by the actions of Defendant Linnell and/or Defendant Prickett?

Answer in dollars and cents, if any.

A.	Past physical pain and suffering	\$
В.	Past mental anguish, emotional distress, outrage and embarrassment	\$
C.	Past medical expenses	\$
D.	Future medical expenses	\$

Date: 4-23-09

Signed: